

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,160	03/26/2004	Eric Joseph Bilskie	9596	1981
27752	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVI IILL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3724	
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,160	BILSKIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR REPONDED FOR INC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statud Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	• •	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to lead or b) objected to lead or b) objected to lead or beld in abeyan otion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Aporty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160

Art Unit: 3724

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2,10 and 17, drawn to a roll cutter having a powered cutting blade.
 - II. Claims 3,4,11,12 and 16, drawn to a roll cutter having a feed section and a discharge section.
 - III. Claims 6,7,13,14,18 and 19, drawn to a roll cutter having a the cutter attached to the axial-traversing element and the axial traversing element attached to the radial traversing element.
 - IV. Claims 8,15 and 20, drawn to a roll cutter having a material sensor.
- 2. Claims 1,5 and 9 will be examined with the election of any group. Claims 1 and 9 link the inventions of groups I-IV. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 9. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Inventions of groups I-IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. For example, the roll cutter having a powered cutting blade of group I could be employed without the sensor of group IV. Conversely, the roll cutter having a sensor of group IV would work with a non-powered cutter. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Examiner notes that claims 17-20 effectively claim combinations of the above features. However, by virtue of the other claims, Applicant has provided evidence that he does not believe the patentability lies in the combination. Accordingly, the combination claims are grouped with their respective subcombinations.
- 4. There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the search for group I would be in class 83, subclasses 488 and 489. The search for group II would not be as above, but would instead be in classes 198 and 414 for article handling. The other groups also have unique searches.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160

Art Unit: 3724

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-

4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KP

April 3, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5